

IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT
CITY OF LAS VEGAS
STATE OF NEW MEXICO

FILED IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT
CITY OF LAS VEGAS
DATE: 10-27-05
BY: [Signature]
MUNICIPAL COURT CLERK

CITY OF LAS VEGAS

Plaintiff,

V.

No. 1565-05

JOSE MONDRAGON,

Defendant.

RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS

COMES NOW, the City of Las Vegas by and through its Attorney, Matthew J.

Sandoval, in response as follows to Defendant's Motion:

1. As to item one, the City stipulates to the Motion to Dismiss. The Response to Defendant's Motion was not filed in a timely basis. On October 3, 2005 I entered the City of Las Vegas as the City Attorney.
2. As to item two, there is well established and commonly known law.
3. As to item three, Plaintiff in error. The word error is superfluous and has no legal effect.
4. As to item four, there was a signature sheet that was not originally included because it was a separate sheet but has been included.
- 5 - 10 Have already been denied in our previous Motion.

WHEREAS, The City of Las Vegas requests that the Stipulated Dismissal be entered into on behalf of Mr. Mondragon and any other relief deemed appropriate by the Court.

Respectfully Submitted,

[Signature]
Matthew J. Sandoval, Esq.
City of Las Vegas
City Attorney

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I mailed a true copy of the foregoing Response to Motion to Dismiss to the parties of record this 27 day of October 2005.

[Signature]
Lorfaine Salazar, Paralegal